

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,284	12/02/2003	Jon Elliot Adler .	54289D1	1818
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 04/19/2007 TILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE
3 MON		04/19/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/725,284	ADLER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael Brannock	1649		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 235-243 and 245-271 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 235-243 and 245-271 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 1/11/2007 have been entered in full.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that any outstanding objection or rejection that is not expressly maintained in this Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and upon further consideration of the word "putatively" as it is used in the claims, i.e. see page 2 of the prior Office action.

Specification

As set fourth previously, the disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, see page 16 for example. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 235-243 and 245-271 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1649

Claim 235 requires a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence "encoded by SEQ ID NO: 21"; as SEQ ID NO: 21 is an amino acid sequence it is unclear what limitations the word encoded places on the claim. It suggested that the claim be reworded to read "polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 17.

Claims 235-243 (and dependent claims) require a polypeptide "contained in" SEQ ID NO: 21 it is unclear what limitations the phrase "contained in" places on the claims. It is suggested that the claim read "polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21".

Claim 248 requires that the polypeptide is expressed by a cell. As a polypeptide can be expressed by a cell and then purified or, alternatively, expressed by the cell and used without purification, it is unclear what the claims are intended to encompass.

Claim 249 requires the polypeptide to be comprised on a membrane. It is unclear what this means, and it is suggested that the claimed be amended to indicate if the polypeptide is expressed in the cell membrane or attached to it.

Claims 259 and 260 require the use of a label yet the claims do not stipulate where the label is intended to be placed or what relationship, if any, it should have with any other components used in the assay, thus the artisan could not be reasonably sure that he or she were practicing the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1649

Claims 235-243 and 245-271 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for methods utilizing a T1R2/T1R3 heterodimer receptor, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods utilizing T1R2 alone. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims are directed to methods for identifying a compound that putatively modulates or elicits taste in a human subject, specifically with regard to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21 which is termed hT1R2. The specification asserts that T1R2 associates with T1R3 to form a heterodimer sweet taste receptor. While, it may turn out that T1R2 has some function alone, it is generally agreed in the art that only T1R3 is capable of acting as a taste receptor alone, see page 192, col 1, of Temussi-P et al., J. Mol. Recognition 19(188-199)2006. Thus, the claims are not directed to a functional taste receptor. As set forth previously, Hoon *et al.*, *Cell* 96(541-551)1999, report that "We have attempted to determine the ligand/tastant specificity of TR1 and TR2 using a variety of strategies but have been hampered by the difficulty of functionally expressing these molecules in heterologous system see col 1 of page 547". The art regarding T1R receptors, as exemplified by Hoon et al., recognizes the complexity, unpredictability, and non-routine nature of the work involved in trying to assay functional T1R receptors and their ligands. The specification has not provided an enabling teaching as to how to make and use the claimed invention commensurate in scope to that which is claimed.

Art Unit: 1649

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 235-243 and 245-271 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 235-286 of copending Application No. 10725276. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the instant claims are directed to binding assays whereas those of copending Application No. 10725276 recite "functional assays", however functional assays of this type are assays of binding, thus the instant claims would be covered by any patent granted in the 10725276 application. This rejection will be held in abeyance as per Applicant's request.

Art Unit: 1649

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

April 15, 2007

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/725,284

Art Unit: 1649

Conclusion

No claims are allowable:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

April 16, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER